



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,100	06/30/2003	Hong Chul Kim	8733.857.00-US	8090
30827	7590	05/04/2006	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			SHERMAN, STEPHEN G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/608,100	KIM, HONG CHUL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Stephen G. Sherman	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed the 12 April 2006.

Claims 1-27 are pending.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12 April 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the argument made to the rejection of claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10 and 12 started on page 7 of the applicant's remarks, the applicant takes the position that since the Silverbrook reference teaches of implementing an image where each of the pixels is independently driven, which is different from the present invention which is directed to realigning initially aligned FLC material in a manufacturing procedure, that Silverbrook does not teach the invention. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Although the present invention may be directed to aligning FLC material in a manufacturing stage where a voltage is applied simultaneously to each pixel in the display, this is not part of the claimed invention. The claimed invention only recites of aligning previously aligned FLC material by applying voltages to the common and ground terminals. There is no recitation of this being done in a manufacturing stage of the LCD panel, nor is there any recitation that all of the pixels are aligned at the same time.

Therefore, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., voltage being applied to each pixel in the display) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

With respect to the argument made to the rejection of claims 13, 16-18, 20, 22-23 and 26 on page 8 of the applicant's remarks and the arguments made to the rejection of claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 19, 21, 24, 25 and 27 on page 9 of the applicant's remarks, the applicant states that the cited references fail to teach the claimed limitations. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner asserts that the cited references do teach the claimed limitations and maintains the rejections as made in the previous office action (See the rejection of the claims below).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 5,805,136).

**Regarding claim 1**, Choi et al. disclose a method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material in the presence of an applied electric field, comprising:

providing a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel having a plurality of gate lines (Figure 10, item 232),

a plurality of data lines (Figure 10, items 231), and a plurality of ferroelectric liquid crystal (FLC) cells; providing FLC material within the FLC cells (Figure 8, item 205);

applying an electric field to the FLC cells to impart an initial alignment to the provided FLC material (Paragraph [0063]);

providing a data drive circuit for driving the plurality of data lines (It is inherent to provide a data drive circuit in a liquid crystal display);

providing a gate drive circuit for driving the plurality of gate lines (It is inherent to provide a gate drive circuit in a liquid crystal display);

providing a source printed circuit board (PCB) connected to the LCD panel through the data drive circuit (Figure 10, items 217a-217e),

wherein the source PCB includes a common voltage terminal (Figure 10, item 217a) and a ground voltage terminal (Paragraph [0066]);

providing a gate PCB connected to the LCD panel through the gate drive circuit (Figure 10, items 216a-216d), wherein the gate PCB includes a common voltage terminal (Figure 10, 215a)

and a ground voltage terminal (Paragraph [0066]).

Choi et al. fail to teach a method of aligning the initially aligned FLC material, the aligning comprising: applying a first voltage to the common voltage terminal on the source PCB; applying a second voltage to the ground voltage terminal on the source PCB simultaneously with the first voltage to the common voltage terminal on the source PCB; applying the first voltage to the common voltage terminal formed on the gate PCB; and applying the second voltage to the ground terminal formed on the gate PCB simultaneously with the first voltage to the common voltage terminal formed on the gate PCB.

Silverbrook et al. disclose a method of aligning the initially aligned FLC material, the aligning comprising:

applying a first voltage to the common voltage terminal on the source/gate PCB (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that driving a common

layer to a first voltage is applying a first voltage to it and that in order to apply this voltage to the common layer that it must be applied to the terminals inherently located on the source and gate PCBs.); and

applying a second voltage to a ground voltage terminal on a source/gate PCB simultaneously with the first voltage to the common voltage terminal on the source/gate PCB (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that a second voltage could be a zero voltage and would be applied at the same time as the first voltage to the ground terminal that is inherently located on a source PCB of a display.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. in order to provide a method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystals using the common and ground voltage terminals.

**Regarding claim 2**, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1. Choi et al. also discloses wherein providing the FLC material includes injecting the FLC material (Paragraph [0011]).

**Regarding claim 3**, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1, wherein providing the FLC material includes dispensing the FLC material (It would be inherent to provide the FLC material by dispensing it).

**Regarding claim 6**, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1. Choi et al. also disclose wherein the FLC cell includes Half V-switching Mode FLC material (Paragraph [0011]).

**Regarding claim 7**, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1. Choi et al. also disclose wherein the FLC material is initially aligned in the presence of the applied electric field while being cooled below a phase transformation temperature, wherein the phase of the cooled FLC material transforms from a nematic phase to a smectic C phase (Paragraphs [0013] and [0014]).

**Regarding claim 10**, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1. Silverbrook et al. also disclose wherein substantially no voltage is applied to the gate lines upon aligning the initially aligned FLC material (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that since voltages are applied to the common layer and data lines that no voltage would be applied to the gate lines during alignment.).

**Regarding claim 12**, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of



aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1. Silverbrook et al. also disclose wherein a common power voltage is not applied to the source PCB upon aligning the initially aligned FLC material (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that since a voltage is being applied to the common terminal that the common power voltage would not be applied to the common terminals of the PCBs.).

6. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,927,825) and further in view of Choi et al. (US 6,760,088).

***Regarding claim 4***, Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1.

Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the method of aligning ferroelectric crystal material wherein the initial alignment of the FLC material is deteriorated prior to aligning the initially aligned FLC material.

Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric crystal material wherein the initial alignment of the FLC material is deteriorated prior to aligning the initially aligned FLC material (Column 5, lines 31-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al. (US

2002/0085132), Silverbrook et al. and Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) in order to realign the liquid crystal material if the initial alignment has been disturbed.

**Regarding claim 5**, Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Silverbrook et al. and Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 4. Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) also disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric crystal material wherein aligning the initially aligned FLC material substantially restores the initial alignment of the FLC material (Column 5, lines 31-35).

7. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,927,825) and further in view of Kondoh (US 6,710,759).

**Regarding claim 8**, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1.

Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the method of aligning ferroelectric crystal material wherein the first voltage is greater than the second voltage.

Kondoh discloses the method of aligning ferroelectric crystal material wherein the first voltage is greater than the second voltage (Figure 6. The examiner interprets that the Sw time period during the Rs time period to be when the ferroelectric crystal is aligned and during this period the voltage, (V) can be seen to be at one time positive. Since the examiner already interpreted the voltage applied to the ground voltage

terminal to be 0, the first voltage applied during the alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystals would be greater than the second.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Silverbrook et al. and Kondoh in order to allow for the selection between the different molecular arrangements of the FLC.

***Regarding claim 9***, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1.

Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach of the method of aligning ferroelectric crystal wherein the second voltage is greater than the first voltage.

Kondoh discloses the method of aligning ferroelectric crystal wherein the second voltage is greater than the first voltage (Figure 6. The examiner interprets that the Sw time period during the Rs time period to be when the ferroelectric crystal is aligned and during this period the voltage, (V) can be seen to be at one time negative. Since the examiner already interpreted the voltage applied to the ground voltage terminal to be 0, the second voltage applied during the alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystals would be greater than the first.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Silverbrook et al. and Kondoh in order to allow for the selection between the different molecular arrangements of the FLC.

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,927,825) and further in view of Lee et al. (US 2004/0246388).

***Regarding claim 11***, Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 1.

Choi et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material further comprising providing the gate lines in a floating state upon aligning the initially aligned FLC material.

Lee et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material further comprising providing the gate lines in a floating state upon aligning the initially aligned FLC material (Paragraph [0054]. The examiner interprets that if the pixel electrodes are kept in a floating state because no voltage is received from the gate or source driver, that the gate lines would also be in a floating state.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Silverbrook et al. and Lee et al. in order to ensure that no voltage would be supplied to the pixels from the gate lines while alignment was taking place.

9. Claims 13, 16-18, 20, 22-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and further in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,927,825).

**Regarding claim 13**, Choi et al. disclose a method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material display panels comprising:

providing a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel having a plurality of gate lines (Figure 10, item 232),  
a plurality of data lines (Figure 10, item 231), and  
a plurality of liquid crystal cells containing initially aligned ferroelectric liquid crystal (FLC) material (Figure 8, item 205).

Choi et al. fails to teach of setting an analog gamma voltage substantially equal to a first voltage, wherein the analog gamma voltage is set independently of a gray scale value of a digital video data; and applying the first voltage to the plurality of data lines.

Lee et al. disclose setting an analog gamma voltage substantially equal to a first voltage, wherein the analog gamma voltage is set independently of a gray scale value of a digital video data; and applying the first voltage to the plurality of data lines (Paragraph [0014]. The examiner interprets that the gamma voltage would be a first voltage and that "selecting a gamma voltage at a gray level other than the intermediate gray level" means that the gamma voltage is set independently of a gray scale value.), and

wherein the second voltage is different from the first voltage (Figure 5, items 220 (first voltage generator) and 300 (second voltage generator) have different outputs for different voltage values.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al. and Lee et al. in order to create a FLC display panel that would provide an analog gamma voltage to the data lines.

Choi et al. and Lee et al. fail to teach aligning the initially aligned FLC material by applying a second voltage to a common electrode of the LCD panel, wherein the second voltage is different from the first voltage.

Silverbrook et al. disclose aligning the initially aligned FLC material by applying a second voltage to a common electrode of the LCD panel (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that the first voltage applied to the common layer would be a second voltage.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. in order to create a FLC display panel in which the gamma voltage applied to the data lines and the voltage used to align the FLC material are different.

**Regarding claim 16**, Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 13. Choi et al.

also disclose wherein the FLC cell includes Half V-switching Mode FLC material (Paragraph [0011]).

**Regarding claim 17**, Choi et al., Lee et al., and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 13. Choi et al. also disclose wherein the FLC material is initially aligned in the presence of the applied electric field while being cooled below a phase transformation temperature, wherein the phase of the cooled FLC material transforms from a nematic phase to a smectic C phase (Paragraphs [0013] and [0014]).

**Regarding claim 18**, Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 13. Silverbrook et al. also disclose wherein substantially no voltage is applied to the plurality of gate lines upon aligning the initially aligned FLC material (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that since voltages are applied to the common layer and data lines that no voltage would be applied to the gate lines during alignment.).

**Regarding claim 20**, Choi et al. disclose a ferroelectric liquid crystal display, comprising:

a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel having a plurality of gate lines (Figure 10, item 232),

a plurality of data lines (Figure 10, items 231),

a plurality of ferroelectric liquid crystal (FLC) cells containing FLC material having an initial alignment (Figure 8, item 205), and

a common electrode (Figure 8, item 212);

a source printed circuit board (PCB) including a ground voltage terminal (Paragraph [0066]) for applying a first voltage and a common voltage terminal (Figure 10, item 215a) for applying a second voltage;

a data driving circuit for driving the plurality of data lines (It is inherent to have a data driving circuit in a LCD panel),

wherein the LCD panel is electrically connected to the source PCB via the data driving circuit (Figure 10, items 217a-217e).

Choi et al. fail to teach of a gamma circuit for generating a substantially uniform voltage independent of a gray scale value of a digital video data using the first voltage, wherein the first voltage is transmittable to the plurality of data lines via the data driving circuit upon an alignment of the initially aligned FLC material.

Lee et al. disclose a gamma circuit for generating a substantially uniform voltage independent of a gray scale value of a digital video data using the first voltage, wherein the first voltage is transmittable to the plurality of data lines via the data driving circuit upon an alignment of the initially aligned FLC material (Paragraph [0014]. The examiner interprets that the gamma voltage would be a first voltage and that "selecting a gamma voltage at a gray level other than the intermediate gray level" means that the gamma voltage is set independently of a gray scale value.).



Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al. and Lee et al. in order to create a FLC display panel that would provide an analog gamma voltage to the data lines.

Choi et al. and Lee et al. fail to teach of a common electrode driving circuit for applying the second voltage to the common electrode upon the alignment of the initially aligned FLC material.

Silverbrook et al. disclose a common electrode driving circuit for applying the second voltage to the common electrode upon the alignment of the initially aligned FLC material (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that the first voltage applied to the common layer would be a second voltage.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. in order to create a FLC display panel in which the gamma voltage applied to the data lines and the voltage used to align the FLC material are different.

**Regarding claim 22**, Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display according to claim 20. Choi et al. also discloses wherein the FLC cell includes Half-switching Mode FLC material (Paragraph [0011]).

**Regarding claim 23**, Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display according to claim 20. Choi et al. also discloses

wherein the FLC material is initially aligned in the presence of the applied electric field while being cooled below a phase transformation temperature, wherein the phase of the cooled FLC material transforms from a nematic phase to a smectic C phase (Paragraphs [0013] and [0014]).

**Regarding claim 26**, Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display according to claim 20, further comprising a gate driving circuit for driving the plurality of gate lines. Silverbrook et al. also disclose wherein substantially no voltage is applicable to the gate lines in the presence of the applied first and second voltages (Column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12. The examiner interprets that since voltages are applied to the common layer and data lines that no voltage would be applied to the gate lines during alignment.).

10. Claims 14-15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and further in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,927,825) and Choi et al. (6,760,088).

**Regarding claim 14**, Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 13.

Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material wherein the initial alignment of the FLC material is deteriorated prior to aligning the initially aligned FLC material.

Choi et al. (6,760,088) disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material wherein the initial alignment of the FLC material is deteriorated prior to aligning the initially aligned FLC material (Column 5, lines 31-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al., Silverbrook et al. and Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) in order to realign the liquid crystal material if the initial alignment has been disturbed.

***Regarding claim 15***, Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 14.

Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material wherein aligning the initially aligned FLC material substantially restores the initial alignment of the FLC material.

Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) discloses the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material wherein aligning the initially aligned FLC material substantially restores the initial alignment of the FLC material (Column 5, lines 31-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al. (US

2002/0085132), Lee et al., Silverbrook et al. and Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) in order to realign the liquid crystal material if the initial alignment has been disturbed.

**Regarding claim 21**, Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display according to claim 20.

Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the ferroelectric liquid crystal display wherein an alignment of the initially aligned FLC material is restorable in the presence of the first and second voltages.

Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) discloses the ferroelectric liquid crystal display wherein an alignment of the initially aligned FLC material is restorable in the presence of the first and second voltages (Column 5, lines 31-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to “one of ordinary skill” in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132), Lee et al., Silverbrook et al. and Choi et al. (US 6,760,088) in order to realign the liquid crystal material to the initial alignment.

11. Claims 19 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and further in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,927,825) and Lee et al. (US 2004/0246388).

**Regarding claim 19**, Choi et al., Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and

Silverbrook et al. disclose the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material according to claim 13.

Choi et al., Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material further comprising providing the gate lines in a floating state upon aligning the initially aligned FLC material.

Lee et al. (US 2004/0246388) discloses the method of aligning ferroelectric liquid crystal material further comprising providing the gate lines in a floating state upon aligning the initially aligned FLC material (Paragraph [0054]. The examiner interprets that if the pixel electrodes are kept in a floating state because no voltage is received from the gate or source driver, that the gate lines would also be in a floating state.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629), Silverbrook et al. and Lee et al. (US 2004/0246388) in order to ensure that no voltage would be supplied to the pixels from the gate lines while alignment was taking place.

**Regarding claim 27**, Choi et al., Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and Silverbrook et al. disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display according to claim 20.

Choi et al., Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the ferroelectric liquid crystal display wherein the gate lines are provided in a floating state in the presence of the applied first and second voltages.

Lee et al. (US 2004/0246388) disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display wherein the gate lines are provided in a floating state in the presence of the applied first and second voltages (Paragraph [0054]. The examiner interprets that if the pixel electrodes are kept in a floating state because no voltage is received from the gate or source driver, that the gate lines would also be in a floating state.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629), Silverbrook et al. and Lee et al. (US 2004/0246388) in order to ensure that no voltage would be supplied to the pixels from the gate lines while alignment was taking place.

12. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2002/0085132) in view of Lee et al. (US 2004/0169629) and further in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,927,825) and Kondoh (US 6,710,759).

**Regarding claim 24**, Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display according to claim 20.

Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the ferroelectric liquid crystal display wherein the first voltage is greater than the second voltage.

Kondoh discloses the ferroelectric liquid crystal display wherein the first voltage is greater than the second voltage (Figure 6. The examiner interprets that the Sw time period during the Rs time period to be when the ferroelectric crystal is aligned and

during this period the voltage, (V) can be seen to be at one time negative. Since the examiner already interpreted the voltage applied to the ground voltage terminal to be 0, the first voltage applied during the alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystals would be greater than the second.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Silverbrook et al. and Kondoh in order to allow for the selection between the different molecular arrangements of the FLC.

***Regarding claim 25***, Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. disclose the ferroelectric liquid crystal display according to claim 20.

Choi et al., Lee et al. and Silverbrook et al. fail to teach the ferroelectric liquid crystal wherein the second voltage is greater than the first voltage.

Kondoh discloses the ferroelectric liquid crystal wherein the second voltage is greater than the first voltage (Figure 6. The examiner interprets that the Sw time period during the Rs time period to be when the ferroelectric crystal is aligned and during this period the voltage, (V) can be seen to be at one time positive. Since the examiner already interpreted the voltage applied to the ground voltage terminal to be 0, the second voltage applied during the alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystals would be greater than the first.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Choi et al., Silverbrook et al.

and Kondoh in order to allow for the selection between the different molecular arrangements of the FLC.

### ***Conclusion***

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen G. Sherman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SS

26 April 2006

AMR A. AWAD  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
